

A GENERALIZED DUALITY TRANSFORMATION OF THE ANISOTROPIC XY CHAIN IN A MAGNETIC FIELD

Haye Hinrichsen

*Universität Bonn, Physikalisches Institut
Nußallee 12, D-53115 Bonn, Germany*

Abstract

We consider the anisotropic XY chain in a magnetic field with special boundary conditions described by a two-parameter Hamiltonian. It is shown that the exchange of the parameters corresponds to a similarity transformation, which reduces in a special limit to the Ising duality transformation.

In this paper we consider the anisotropic XY chain in a magnetic field which is defined by the Hamiltonian

$$H^{XY}(\eta, q) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \left(\eta \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + \eta^{-1} \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y + q \sigma_j^z + q^{-1} \sigma_{j+1}^z \right), \quad (1)$$

where q and η are complex parameters and $\sigma_j^{x,y,z}$ are Pauli matrices acting on site j . Up to boundary terms, which will play a crucial role here, H can be rewritten as

$$H^{XY}(\eta, h) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^L \left(\eta \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + \eta^{-1} \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y \right) - h \sum_{j=1}^L \sigma_j^z \quad (2)$$

where $h = \frac{q+q^{-1}}{2}$ is the magnetic field. This Hamiltonian has a long history [1, 2] and provides a good model for Helium adsorbed on metallic surfaces (η real and q on the unit circle). It also gives the master equation of the kinetic Ising model [3] ($q = 1$ and η real) and plays a role in one-dimensional reaction-diffusion processes [4]. For the special boundary conditions defined in Eq. (1) the chain has been shown to be invariant under a two-parameter deformation of the $su(1|1)$ superalgebra [5], and some of their correlation functions in the massless regime have been computed in Ref. [6].

The aim of this paper is to show that for these boundary conditions the exchange of the parameters q and η in the Hamiltonian (1) corresponds to a similarity transformation

$$H^{XY}(\eta, q) \doteq H^{XY}(q, \eta), \quad (3)$$

which reduces in a special limit to the Ising duality transformation (here ‘ $\doteq$ ’ denotes equality up to a similarity transformation). The Ising limit of the XY chain is given by

$$H^{IS}(a, b) = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\xi} H^{XY}(a\xi, b\xi), \quad (4)$$

where

$$H^{IS}(a, b) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \left(a \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + b \sigma_j^z \right) \quad (5)$$

is the Ising Hamiltonian with mixed boundary conditions [7]. One of the most remarkable properties of the Ising model is its self-duality [8]. For the boundary conditions defined in Eq. (5) the Ising duality transformation

$$\sigma_j^x \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}_j^x = \prod_{i=1}^j \sigma_i^z, \quad \sigma_j^z \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}_j^z = \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x \quad (6)$$

takes place as

$$H^{IS}(a, b) \doteq H^{IS}(b, a) + a(\sigma_L^z - \sigma_1^z). \quad (7)$$

Using Eq. (4) we can rewrite Eq. (7) by

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\xi} H^{XY}(a\xi, b\xi) \doteq \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\xi} H^{XY}(b\xi, \frac{1}{a\xi}). \quad (8)$$

Notice that we absorbed the surface terms in Eq. (7) by inserting the argument $\frac{1}{a\xi}$ instead of $a\xi$ on the r.h.s. of Eq. (8). In order to symmetrize this expression, we perform a rotation

$$\sigma_j^x \rightarrow \sigma_j^y, \quad \sigma_j^y \rightarrow -\sigma_j^x, \quad \sigma_j^z \rightarrow \sigma_j^z, \quad (j = 1, \dots, L) \quad (9)$$

on the l.h.s. of Eq. (8):

$$H^{XY}(a\xi, b\xi) \doteq H^{XY}\left(\frac{1}{a\xi}, b\xi\right), \quad (10)$$

and we obtain

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\xi} H^{XY}\left(\frac{1}{a\xi}, b\xi\right) \doteq \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\xi} H^{XY}\left(b\xi, \frac{1}{a\xi}\right). \quad (11)$$

This means that Eq. (3) holds for $\eta = \frac{1}{a\xi}$ and $q = b\xi$ in the limit $\xi \rightarrow \infty$.

The claim of this paper is to prove Eq. (3), i.e. we derive a similarity transformation

$$H^{XY}(\eta, q) = U H^{XY}(q, \eta) U^{-1} \quad (12)$$

for *arbitrary* parameters η and q . Let us first summarize our results. For that purpose let us introduce fermionic operators by a Jordan-Wigner transformation

$$\tau_j^{x,y} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \sigma_i^z \right) \sigma_j^{x,y} \quad (13)$$

which allows the Hamiltonian (1) to be written as

$$H(\eta, q) = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \left(\eta \tau_j^- \tau_{j+1}^+ - \eta^{-1} \tau_j^+ \tau_{j+1}^- + q \tau_j^+ \tau_j^- + q^{-1} \tau_{j+1}^+ \tau_{j+1}^- \right). \quad (14)$$

Denoting

$$\alpha = \frac{q}{\eta}, \quad \omega = \left(\frac{\alpha^{1/2} - \alpha^{-1/2}}{\alpha^{1/2} + \alpha^{-1/2}} \right) \quad (15)$$

the explicit expression for $U(\alpha)$ is given by the polynomial

$$U(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{[L/2]} \omega^k G_{2k} \quad (16)$$

where the generators G_{2k} are defined by

$$G_n = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_n \leq L} \tau_{j_1}^x \tau_{j_2}^x \dots \tau_{j_n}^x. \quad (17)$$

By convention we take $G_0 \equiv \mathbf{1}$, and $[L/2]$ denotes the truncation of $L/2$ to an integer number. N is a normalization constant which is given by

$$N = \sum_{k=0}^{[L/2]} \binom{L}{2k} \omega^{2k} = 2^{L-1} \frac{1 + \alpha^L}{(1 + \alpha)^L}. \quad (18)$$

Notice that the transformation depends only on the ratio $\alpha = q/\eta$. Obviously the normalization N vanishes for $\alpha^L = -1$ so that the transformation (12) diverges, and therefore we will exclude this case in the following. For $\alpha = 1$ the transformation $U(\alpha)$ reduces to the identity, and this is what we expect since for $\eta = q$ the exchange of η and q does not effect a change in the Hamiltonian (1).

In order to express the transformation in a formal way, let us introduce the ‘time-ordered product’

$$T \tau_i^x \tau_j^x = \begin{cases} \tau_i^x \tau_j^x & i < j \\ -\tau_j^x \tau_i^x & i > j \\ 0 & i = j \end{cases}, \quad (19)$$

which arranges the operators τ_j^x in increasing order with respect to their fermionic commutation relations. Observing that

$$G_{2k} = \frac{1}{k!} T G_2^k \quad (20)$$

where

$$G_2 = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq L} \tau_{j_1}^x \tau_{j_2}^x \quad (21)$$

we can rewrite Eq. (16) formally as a time-ordered exponential of G_2 :

$$U(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} T \exp(\omega G_2). \quad (22)$$

This expression suggests that the inverse of $U(\alpha)$ is obtained by taking $\omega \rightarrow -\omega$, i.e. $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha^{-1}$. In fact, one can show that

$$U^{-1}(\alpha) = U(\alpha^{-1}). \quad (23)$$

On the other hand we observe that $G_2^T = -G_2$ and thus the transformation (12) is an orthogonal one:

$$U^T(\alpha) = U^{-1}(\alpha). \quad (24)$$

It is interesting to know how the Pauli matrices change under the transformation

$$\tilde{\sigma}_j^{x,y,z} = U(\alpha) \sigma_j^{x,y,z} U^{-1}(\alpha). \quad (25)$$

As we are going to show below, one obtains three important identities:

$$\eta \tilde{\sigma}_j^x \tilde{\sigma}_{j+1}^x + q \tilde{\sigma}_j^z = q \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + \eta \sigma_j^z \quad (26)$$

$$q \tilde{\sigma}_j^y \tilde{\sigma}_{j+1}^y + \eta \tilde{\sigma}_j^z = \eta \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y + q \sigma_j^z \quad (27)$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_j^x \tilde{\sigma}_{j+1}^y = \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^y. \quad (28)$$

Because of these identities we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}^{XY}(q, \eta) &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} [q \tilde{\sigma}_j^x \tilde{\sigma}_{j+1}^x + q^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_j^y \tilde{\sigma}_{j+1}^y + \eta \tilde{\sigma}_j^z + \eta^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{j+1}^z] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} [\eta \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + \eta^{-1} \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y + q \sigma_j^z + q^{-1} \sigma_{j+1}^z] = H^{XY}(\eta, q), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

and our claim in Eq. (3) is proved. The identities (26)-(28) contain even more information. Since they hold independently for every $1 \leq j < L$, it is obvious that even the spectrum of the Hamiltonian

$$\bar{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} [a_j (\eta \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + q \sigma_j^z) + b_j (\eta^{-1} \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y + q^{-1} \sigma_{j+1}^z) + c_j \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^y] \quad (30)$$

is invariant under the exchange $q \leftrightarrow \eta$ for *arbitrary* coefficients a_j , b_j and c_j .

We are now going to derive the identities (26)-(28). For that purpose we first consider the transformation properties of the fermionic operators $\tilde{\tau}_j^{x,y} = U \tau_j^{x,y} U^{-1}$. It turns out that

$$\tilde{\tau}_i^x = \sum_{j=1}^L u_{i,j} \tau_j^x, \quad \tilde{\tau}_j^y = \tau_j^y, \quad (31)$$

where

$$u_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \varrho & \text{if } i = j \\ (\varrho - \alpha) \alpha^{i-j} & \text{if } i < j \\ (\varrho - \alpha^{-1}) \alpha^{i-j} & \text{if } i > j \end{cases}, \quad (32)$$

and

$$\varrho = \frac{\alpha^{\frac{L}{2}-1} + \alpha^{-\frac{L}{2}+1}}{\alpha^{\frac{L}{2}} + \alpha^{-\frac{L}{2}}} . \quad (33)$$

Notice that the transformation (31) is an orthogonal one as well ($\sum_{k=1}^L u_{i,k} u_{j,k} = \delta_{i,j}$) and reduces for $\alpha = 1$ to the identical transformation $u_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j}$. Furthermore the coefficients $u_{i,j}$ depend only on the difference of their indices $i - j$. Obviously τ_j^y is invariant under the similarity transformation, and this immediately proves Eq. (28). By adding the Jordan-Wigner transformation (13) we obtain the following transformation rules for other the terms occuring in the Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_j^x \tilde{\sigma}_{j+1}^x &= (\varrho - \alpha^{-1}) \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \alpha^{j-k+1} \sigma_k^y S_{k+1,j-1} \sigma_j^y \\ &+ (\varrho - \alpha) \sum_{k=j+2}^L \alpha^{j-k+1} \sigma_j^x S_{j+1,k-1} \sigma_k^x \\ &+ (1 - \varrho\alpha) \sigma_j^z + \varrho \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_j^y \tilde{\sigma}_{j+1}^y &= (\varrho - \alpha^{-1}) \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \alpha^{j-k} \sigma_k^y S_{k+1,j} \sigma_{j+1}^y \\ &+ (\varrho - \alpha) \sum_{k=j+2}^L \alpha^{j-k} \sigma_{j+1}^x S_{j,k-1} \sigma_k^x \\ &+ (1 - \varrho\alpha^{-1}) \sigma_{j+1}^z + \varrho \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_j^z &= (\alpha^{-1} - \varrho) \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \alpha^{j-k} \sigma_k^y S_{k+1,j-1} \sigma_j^y \\ &+ (\alpha - \varrho) \sum_{k=j+1}^L \alpha^{j-k} \sigma_j^x S_{j+1,k-1} \sigma_k^x + \varrho \sigma_j^z, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_j^y \tilde{\sigma}_{j+1}^x = (\varrho - \alpha^{-1}) \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \alpha^{j-k} (\alpha \sigma_k^y S_{k+1,j} \sigma_{j+1}^x - \sigma_k^y S_{k+1,j-1} \sigma_j^x) \quad (37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ (\varrho - \alpha) \sum_{k=j+2}^L \alpha^{j-k} (\sigma_j^y S_{j+1,k-1} \sigma_k^x - \alpha \sigma_{j+1}^y S_{j+2,k-1} \sigma_k^x) \\ &+ (\varrho(\alpha + \alpha^{-1}) - 1) \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^x, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Here $S_{i,k}$ denotes the Jordan-Wigner string between the sites i and k

$$S_{i,k} = \prod_{j=i}^k \sigma_j^z, \quad S_{i+1,i} \equiv 1 \quad (39)$$

which means that the $q \leftrightarrow \eta$ transformation converts local observables to linear combinations of strings measuring the charge between certain positions. Notice that Eqs. (34)-(37) simplify in the thermodynamical limit $L \rightarrow \infty$, where $\varrho \rightarrow \alpha$ if $|\alpha| < 1$ and $\varrho \rightarrow \alpha^{-1}$ if $|\alpha| > 1$, respectively.

We have discovered the identity (12) by first noticing that the spectra of $H^{XY}(\eta, q)$ and $H^{XY}(q, \eta)$ are identical and we have computed the relations (34)-(37) by hand. Then using these results we conjectured the general structure of the transformation (16).

Let us finally check the Ising limit described above (c.f. Eq. (11)). For $q \rightarrow \infty$ and $\eta \rightarrow 0$ Eqs. (26) and (27) reduce to

$$\tilde{\sigma}_i^z = \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x, \quad \tilde{\sigma}_i^y \tilde{\sigma}_{i+1}^y = \sigma_{i+1}^z. \quad (40)$$

Now if we rotate $\tilde{\sigma}^x$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^y$ like in Eq. (9), we end up with

$$\tilde{\sigma}_i^z = \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x, \quad \tilde{\sigma}_i^x \tilde{\sigma}_{i+1}^x = \sigma_{i+1}^z, \quad (41)$$

and this is just the Ising duality transformation given in Eq. (6).

The $q \leftrightarrow \eta$ symmetry (29) may be interpreted physically as follows: The parameter q fixes (beyond the magnetic field) the boundary conditions of the system while the parameter η describes the bulk anisotropy. So the exchange of q and η may be understood as a transformation which exchanges the bulk and boundary properties of the chain. An investigation of correlation functions confirms this interpretation.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank V. Rittenberg for valuable discussions and S. R. Dahmen for a careful reading of the manuscript.

References

- [1] den Nijs N 1988 *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena* ed Domb C and Lebowitz J L, vol. 12 (Academic Press New York) 219
- [2] Barouch E, McCoy B M and Dresden M 1970 *Phys. Rev.* **A2** 1075
Barouch E and McCoy B M 1971 *Phys. Rev.* **A3** 786
- [3] Siggia E D 1977 *Phys. Rev.* **B16** 2319
- [4] Alcaraz F C, Droz M, Henkel M and Rittenberg V 1992 *Reaction-Diffusion Processes, Critical Dynamics and Quantum Chains* Geneva preprint UGVA-DPT 1992/12-799
- [5] Saleur H 1989 *Trieste Conference on Recent Developments in Conformal Field Theories* ed Randjikir-Daemi, E. Sezgin and J. B. Zuber (World Scientific) 160
Hinrichsen H and Rittenberg V 1992 *Phys. Lett.* **B 275** 350
- [6] Hinrichsen H and Rittenberg V 1993 *Phys. Lett.* **B 304** 115
- [7] Cardy J L 1986 *Nucl. Phys.* **B 275** 200
- [8] Kogut J B 1979 *Rev. Mod. Phys* **51** 659